

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,204		03/02/2004	Kevin Keith Line	05918-361001	1992
26161	7590	11/15/2006		EXAM	INER
	FISH & RICHARDSON PC			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
P.O. BOX 1 MINNEAPO		55440-1022	40-1022 ART UNIT PAPER		PAPER NUMBER
	<b>,</b>			1772	
				DATE MAILED: 11/15/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/791,204	LINE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Alexander Thomas	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communicate eriod for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiate of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuth Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI 1, by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 01 November 2006.	•
_	) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	<i>,</i> —	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the app	olication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
	il Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
application from the Internationa		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/28/06.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1772

# DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 26 is objected to because of the reasons of record. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 18-20 and 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimamura et al ('230). Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. The selvedges (i.e. edge portions) of the substrate or base layer 1 in the article of the reference have grooves 5 on both surfaces. These grooves can extend to a depth of 50 to 90% of thickness of the substrate which would inherently make the edge portions of the substrate or base significantly less stiff than the central portion of the substrate and allow the edge portions to conform to a mold surface; see column 6, lines 39-53.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-6, 9-11, 15, 17-19 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Northrup et al ('414). Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. The reference clearly discloses a layer 23 which may be considered the "base" layer that has a thicker central portion as compared to the

Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

selvedge or edge portions; see Figure 1 or Figure 4. The difference in thickness would

Page 3

inherently make the edge portions significantly less stiff than the central portion of the

product in Figure 1.

5. Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 15, 17 and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Morse et al ('649). Applicant's arguments have been considered but are

not deemed persuasive. Figure 4 of the reference shows the selvedge portions of layer

14 conforming to a mold surface. These selvedge portions inherently have a stiffness

less than a central portion of the fastener in view of the thicker central portion, i.e. layers

14 and layer 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 14-17, 21-23, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Shimamura et al ('230). Applicant's arguments have been

considered but are not deemed persuasive for the same reasons as set forth above in

paragraph 3.

Art Unit: 1772

Page 4

8. Claims 7, 8, 14, 16, 20, 21, 23 and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Northrup et al ('414). Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons as set forth above in paragraph 4.

9. Claims 10-14, 16, 18-22, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morse et al ('649). Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive for the same reasons as set forth above in paragraph 5.

#### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

Art Unit: 1772

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Clesaudy Si hours